

REMARKS

Claim 32 calls for prioritizing a plurality of information items based on at least the communication network context. The communication network context involves information about communication media available to the device. Thus, prioritization is undertaken based on information about the communication media available to the device. Moreover, it is clear that the method involves transferring high priority information.


The office action notes that the Smith reference does not teach the prioritization step.

The reason for citing the Yu patent is difficult to understand. It is not even a transfer patent. It merely prioritizes what web pages show up in response to a search term. It does not involve a communication network, it does not involve a communication network context, and it does not teach anything about the prioritization set forth in the claim. The cited Yu reference fails to make out a *prima facie* rejection.

There is nothing in any of the cited references that suggests the concept of prioritizing based on communication network context. The fact that Yu may prioritize what web pages show up in response to a search, based on some criteria other than the one set forth in the claimed invention, is of very little help in making out a *prima facie* rejection. Reconsideration is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: September 2, 2008



Timothy N. Trop, Reg. No. 28,994
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.
1616 South Voss Road, Suite 750
Houston, TX 77057-2631
713/468-8880 [Phone]
713/468-8883 [Fax]

Attorneys for Intel Corporation